

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

EXOBX TECHNOLOGIES CORP.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ZACHARY TSAMBIS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

2:14-cv-00501-RFB-VCF

ORDER

Before the court is Plaintiff's Ex Parte Motion for Extension of Time to Serve the Summonses and Amended Complaint. (#132).

Exobox seeks to extend the time to effect service of the Summonses and Amended Complaint upon those Defendants that have not been served. *Id.* Plaintiff has given sufficient reason to grant the extension of time to serve the summonses and amended complaint.

Pursuant to Local Rule 7-5(c), [m]otions, applications or requests may be submitted *ex parte* only for compelling reasons, and not for unopposed or emergency motions. In the declaration of Zachary Takos, Exobox states that the instant motion is *ex parte* "because the relief sought does not impact or affect any of the Defendants who have been served in this matter." This is not a compelling reason to file the instant motion *ex parte*. This motion will not be deemed *ex parte*.

Accordingly,


IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk will remove the *ex parte* status from the Motion for Extension of Time to Serve the Summonses and Amended Complaint (#132).

...

...

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion for Extension of Time to Serve the Summonses and
2 Amended Complaint (#132) is GRANTED.

3 DATED this 9th day of April, 2015.

4
5 

6 CAM FERENBACH
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25